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Modelling accurately passenger airbags has been a great challenge for both OEM and airbag suppliers. Although JLR suppliers were requested to deliver LS-DYNA models for PAB assemblies, they would use other tools to fold airbags and translate them into LS-DYNA. This process of conversion required a great deal of correction and was time consuming, leading to program delays and lost confidence in the reduction of the large number of tests associated in the development of Out Of Position (OOP). The motivation of this project is to develop a complete process using LS-DYNA and LS-OPT in the development of an adaptive PAB for OOP.

The OOP process includes a realistic folding, inflator representation using particle method, use of LS-OPT for auto correlation and robustness prediction.
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Introduction

The PAB needs to perform in two conflicting scenarios:

- **In Position**:
  - Occupant is correctly seated.
  - PAB is almost fully deployed before occupant interaction.
  - Performance driven by: stiffness, total inflator output and venting.

- **OOP**:
  - Occupant is not in position.
  - PAB needs to offer just enough protection, or not deploy at all.
  - Performance driven by: The unfolding sequence, early gas venting, geometry, stiffness, dynamic inflator output and venting.
The OOP Simulation Challenge

- Design for OOP is about balancing the transient behaviour of the inflator and airbag with the geometry of the occupant in close proximity to the IP. Thus, uniform pressure assumptions are not valid as the dynamic behaviour of the inflator gasses play a crucial role.

- Some of the expected challenges are summarised below:
  - Choice of gas inflation technique - ALE (Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian Method) or CPM (Corpuscular Particle Method)
  - Folding process - chaotic scrunch fold which has no regular origami pattern
  - Numerical instabilities (element formulation, contact algorithm, modelling robustness, fabric material behaviour e.g. elasticity, porosity, directionality)
CAE Analysis with the Passenger Airbag Model
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Stages of model build for PAB OOP analysis

Higher quality model required for complex OOP events

1. Detailed model build (full folding information)
2. Inflation using advanced Gas Dynamics
3. Correlation to multiple tests
4. Robustness Analysis
5. Prototype verification
AIRBAG INFLATION
GAS DYNAMIC MODELLING
OOP initial model approach was based on ALE. Though this can be as accurate as needed, it has challenges of its own. The biggest drawbacks include the required computational power to achieve acceptable simulation turn around time.

The Corpuscular Particles Method (CPM), offers a more viable approach. It is significantly less computationally intensive than ALE.
Inflator Representation

- To specify the gas initial direction, LS_DYNA requires vectors, normal to each of the inflator outlets.
- Local coordinate systems, attached to the inflator, are used to define the required vectors. This way, the vector coordinates always make reference to their respective local system.
- This is done to facilitate the inflator reposition without having to redefine the vectors after a global rotation or translation of the Passenger Airbag module.
Inflator Tank Test Correlation

- Using LS-DYNA CPM
- This is a new feature in LS-DYNA, hence requires investigation and good understanding to realise full potential
- Using 60 litres tank for correlation
Inflator Tank test correlation: Pressure Variation

- Pressure readings from small areas, like the front and top sensors, are very noisy.

- Because pressure is calculated from the particles’ collisions, the reading depends on the size of the surface area and the number of particles in contact at a given point in time.
Inflator Correlation
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CAE CUSHION CREATION
CREATING THE FABRIC MESH
The CAE model was reverse engineered from the prototype bag, using seam and marking lines as reference.

A 3D CAE version of the bag is built using this geometry.
PAB CAE Mesh Creation

- A 10x10 regular mesh is applied to most of the parts.
- Dynamic Safety Vents are meshed with 5x5 triangular elements to:
  - Provide necessary extra detail
  - Avoid hourglass problems due to extreme distortion during operation/closing.
Modelling of Dynamic Safety Vent (DSV)

- The cross section cut of the DSV shows that a 1.5 - 2 mm gap between fabric layers of fabric.
- This is necessary to help the contact and avoid cross edges and minimise penetrations.
- The fabric material thickness, for contact purposes only, is 1.5 mm.
- The real thickness, used for analyses, is 0.35 mm.
Modelling of Dynamic Safety Vent (DSV)

- As shown, JLR’s approach has been to try to accurately represent the DSV.
- This has been a challenge due to high levels of deformation and contact requirements.
CUSHION FOLDING
REPLICATING THE ACTUAL AIRBAG FOLDING PROCESS IN CAE
The folding (and therefore unfolding) is one of the most important parameters to control the PAB deployment and OOP performance.

The PAB used in this research is scrunch folded i.e. there is no regular origami (folding pattern)

The manufacturing folding process was carefully studied in order to be accurately represented in the CAE environment
During the actual folding the flat PAB is hung upside down to fix the outer tearing tether (OTT).

In CAE, this step was replicated by folding the abdominal section first and then fixing the OTT.
After the OTT is fixed the PAB is place on the rig and the head portion is flipped over to the left side – leaving the DSV (Dynamic Safety Vents) uppermost.
The rig has pushers that carry out the crunch folding in order. Longitudinal and horizontal foldings are carried out first. Finally the vertical member pushes the PAB inside the container, ready to be wrapped using the fabric cover.
CPM inflation of folded airbag
MODEL CORRELATION
USING LINEAR IMPACT RIG TESTING
## Correlation Matrix

### PAB CAE correlation Test Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAB Test</th>
<th>Impact or</th>
<th>Velocity</th>
<th>Test rig</th>
<th>Passive Vent</th>
<th>safety Vent</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Deployment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Static Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage one only</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>5.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stage One Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage one only</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>5.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As above repeat test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Vent Only</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Vent Only</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>55 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Impactor bottoms out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Vent</td>
<td>35KG</td>
<td>7.5m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>55 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Test 1</td>
<td>20KG</td>
<td>0.0m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Reverse Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Test 2</td>
<td>20KG</td>
<td>0.0m/s</td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>45 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Reverse Test (partial deployment)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Model Correlation

- The correlation of the PAB was done using five linear impact and reverse test load cases.
- An LS-OPT optimisation was performed to find the set of input variables which provided the best possible correlation to all load cases:
  - inflator power
  - Safety vent efficiency
  - Standard vent efficiency
  - Fabric porosity
First Stage Only Correlation – LC2
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Statistical tools were used to identify the value of the considered input variables that produced the best correlation for all these loading cases simultaneously.

The considered Variables were:
- Temperature
- Static Vent Efficiency
- DSV Efficiency

The output was treated as a Composite Response for which the following impactor responses were used to create a correlation score:
- Displacement
- Velocity
- Acceleration
ROBUSTNESS ANALYSIS
PERFORMED ON FULL SIMULATIONS OF NHTSA OOP TESTS
NHTSA Loading Cases

NHTSA Pos. 1

NHTSA Pos. 2
Robustness Analysis - Overview

- Robustness analysis was undertaken to determine the variability in performance of the NHTSA positions 1 and 2 tests due to variable input conditions.
- A total of 13 variables were used for this study.
- A total of 100 runs per study were used to generate Meta-models of the responses.
- The robustness analysis was carried out using the meta-model. A total of 10,000 points or more can then be used to generate the necessary statistical data.
Robustness Analysis – Assumed noise distribution

- The robustness analysis requires the specification of a Probability Distribution Function (PDF) for the noise. A normal distribution was assumed for all the variables, except for the bag folding.

- The selected ranges correspond to the 3 Sigma deviation from the nominal or mean value.

- The bag folding was treated as a discrete variable. This was necessary due to the manual folding process and the time required to produce the a folded bag. Three bags were used in this study.
Robustness Analysis – PAB Folding variability

- The folding variability concentrated mostly on the final position of the DSV.
- The supplier suggested that this is an uncontrolled parameter.
- This variability was included to investigate its influence on the results.
The tether active length, for the chest and DSV, was controlled by dividing it in two sections. The main section was modelled using shell elements and the last 50 mm were modelled using seatbelt elements.

This modelling approach provides the flexibility to change the active length of the tether by specifying the payout length of the belt element.
The suitability of the meta model was assessed using accuracy plots. These compare the predicted result provided by the metamodels against the result from the actual simulation.

Agreement between the meta-model and the CAE simulation is represented by the points closer to a 45deg straight line.

The plots on the right show good agreement between the CAE results and the meta-model for the 8 considered responses.

The green points represent feasible solutions while the red points represent unfeasible solutions due to constraint violations.
Robustness Analysis – NHTSA Positions 1 & 2
e.g. HIC Correlation Plot

- This graph shows the correlation between the input variables and the HIC response.
- A correlation graph provides at least two important pieces of information, namely:
  - How linear is the relationship between an input variable and a given response.
  - If the relationship between the input variable and the response is positive or negative.
- A positive correlation indicates that an increase in the input variable will cause an increase of the response.
- A negative correlation indicates that an increase in the input variable will cause a decrease of the response.

e.g. HIC response to bag’s folding is linear and positive while the response to volume changes is not as strongly linear and negative.
Robustness Analysis – NHTSA Positions 1 & 2
Probability Distribution

➤ Statistical distribution for the considered responses was established.

➤ Distribution plots were used to highlight areas of concern e.g. Responses with mean value or standard deviations that are too close or over the acceptance criteria.
Robustness Analysis – NHTSA Positions 1 & 2
Sensitivity Analysis e.g. HIC

e.g. These four variables account for more than 60 % to the HIC variability
The summary sensitivity analysis shows the variables, in importance order, that can be used to control or improve the robustness of the process.

**Top 6 control parameters**

**NHTSA Pos. 1**

**NHTSA Pos. 2**

**Top 2 control parameters**
Robustness Analysis – NHTSA Positions 1 & 2

Parallel plot of Responses

The ability to filter results allows the parallel plot to highlights only a group of solutions which meet certain criteria.

Results that comply with Design Criteria
Verification NHTSA Position 1
Verification NHTSA Position 1
Verification NHTSA Position 2
Verification NHTSA Position 2

**HIC**

- Simulation HIC
- Test HIC

**Chest Deflection**

- Simulation Chest Deflection
- Test Deflection

**Compression Force**

- Simulation Compression Force
- Test Compression Force

**Tension Force**

- Simulation Tension Force
- Test Tension Force
Verification NHTSA Position 2
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Conclusions

- LS_DYNA can be used for reliably capturing and replicating the actual folding of the complex PAB. **One Code for OOP**

- Ls_dyna Particles Method provides a realistic opportunity to investigate OOP performance alongside standard In Position performance with one and the same model. **Particle method**

- Many technical challenges have been overcome (e.g. bag folding and modelling of the dynamic safety vent) and the resulting model is now fully parametric. Tether length, venting efficiency, porosity, etc are all variable and allowing for further investigation using stochastic techniques. **LS_DYNA JLR right code of choice**

- The CAE based robustness analysis was used to identify those responses that propose a risk to the design. This methodology shows those parameters that can be used to better control the performance of the system. **CAE Drive design**