LSTC

1

## Using LS-DYNA To Model Hot Stamping

## Arthur Shapiro shapiro@lstc.com

A. Shapiro, "Finite Element Modeling of Hot Stamping", Steel research International, p. 658, Vol. 80, September 2009.

#### **Table of Contents**

Katana: how to make a Japanese sword 4 **B-pillar: how to make Car parts** 6 Numisheet 2008 Benchmark BM03 7 Symbols and values 8 Newtonian heating or cooling 10 Blank heating and transport into tools 12 **Radiation & convection heat loss** 15 Temperature of the blank at tool contact 18 Heat transfer to air and to dies 19 21 **Contact parameters** Numisheet 2008 data for 22MnB5 28 MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal 29 MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel 35 MAT 244 QA parameter study 43 Numisheet 2008 BM03 Model & Simulation 51 Numisheet 2008 BM03 Simulation 52 57 Creating a CCT diagram

#### **Table of Contents**

Modeling tool cooling	59
BULKNODE and BULKFLOW method	60
BULKNODE – modeling a gas or fluid in a container	61
BULKFLOW – modeling flow through a pipe	64
Modeling flow through a pipe	65
Using LS-PrePost to create BULKNODE & BULKFLOW keywords	67
Application – die cooling	70
Water properties	72
How do you determine a pipe flow convection coefficient	73
How do you determine a pipe flow friction factor	77
Workshop problem: Advection – Diffusion	78
BOUNDARY_THERMAL_BULKFLOW_UPWIND	81
Pipe Network	83
Process Start-up time	89
Thermostat controller	93

#### Katana: how to make a Japanese sword

LSTC

The sword smiths of China during the Tang Dynasty (618-907) are often credited with the forging technologies that the Japanese used in later centuries. These technologies include folding, inserted alloys, and quenching of the edge. Okazaki-san is recognized as Japan's greatest sword smith creating such weapons as the katana (14<sup>th</sup> century).









Heat the steel to the color of the moon in February Transfer the blank to the anvil Form the blank with a hammer and lots of muscle

**Quench** the blade.

## Katana: how to make a Japanese sword Temperature of the Moon in February

A color triangle is an arrangement of colors within a triangle, based on the additive combination of 3 primary colors (RGB) at its corners. The correlated color temperature is the temperature of the Planckian radiator whose perceived color most closely resembles that of a given stimulus. Shown is the Plankian locus (in mired) overlaid on the color triangle.





NASA 2/23/09

#### http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Color\_temperature

#### **B-pillar: how to make Car parts**

#### Courtesy of Mercedes Car Group, Sindelfingen, Germany





1. Heat



#### 2. Transfer

3. Form

4. Quench

## Numisheet 2008 Benchmark BM03

#### proposed by Audi



**Benchmark process specification** 

- 1. Heating of the blank to 940C.
- 2. Transport from the oven into the tool 6.5 sec.
- 3. Temperature of the blank at the beginning of the die movement 810C.
- 4. Forming process time 1.6 sec.
- 5. Quench hold time in the tool 20 sec.
- 6. Cool down to room temperature 25C

#### Symbols and values

#### metal

Blank 22MnB5 material dimensions *I*, thickness 0.00195 m length 1m width 0.25 m properties ρ, density kg/m<sup>3</sup> 7830. Cp, heat capacity J/kgK 650. k, thermal conductivity W/mK 32.  $\lambda$ , latent heat, kJ/kg 58.5  $\alpha$ , linear expansion, 1/C 1.3e-05 E, Young's modulus, Gpa 100. μ, Poisson's ratio 0.30

#### Symbols and values

#### air at 483C

 $T_{film} = \frac{940 + 25}{2} = 483.$ 

Air properties at 483 C	
ρ, <b>density, kg/m</b> ³	0.471
Cp, heat capacity, J/kg C	1087.
k, thermal conductivity, W/m C	0.055
μ, viscosity, kg/m s	3.48e-05
$\beta$ , volumetric expansion, 1/C	1.32e-03

#### Newtonian heating or cooling

#### **convection** lumped parameter model

Consider an object being heated from some uniform initial temperature,  $T_i$ . If the object is of high thermal conductivity, then its internal resistance can be ignored, and we can regard the heat transfer process as being controlled solely by surface convection.



10

## Newtonian heating or cooling radiation lumped parameter model



The solution to this differential equation between the limits ( $T=T_i$  @ t=0) and ( $T=T_f$  at t), is

$$t = \frac{\rho c V}{2A \sigma \varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{4T_{\infty}^{3}} \ln \frac{(T_{f} + T_{\infty})}{(T_{i} + T_{\infty})} + \frac{1}{2T_{\infty}^{3}} \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{T_{f}}{T_{\infty}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{T_{i}}{T_{\infty}} \right) \right]$$

## Blank heating and transport into tools

Our starting point for the FE analysis was Process Step Specification 3. However, we performed a hand calculation to verify steps 1 and 2.

The following analytical equation can be used to calculate the time for the blank to cool by radiation from  $T_i=940$ C to  $T_f=810$ C during the transport operation from the oven into the tool. The surroundings are at  $T_{\infty}=25$ C.

$$time = \frac{\rho C_p l}{2\sigma \varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{4T_{\infty}^3} \ln \frac{(T_f + T_{\infty})/(T_f - T_{\infty})}{(T_i + T_{\infty})/(T_i - T_{\infty})} + \frac{1}{2T_{\infty}^3} \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{T_f}{T_{\infty}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{T_i}{T_{\infty}} \right) \right]$$
$$time = 6.68$$

The calculated time is in agreement with the benchmark specification of 6.5 sec.

σ = 5.67e-08 W/m2 K4 ε = 1. ρ = 7870 kg/m3 C<sub>p</sub> = 650 J/kg C I = 1.95 mm

Use degrees Kelvin in above equation

# Blank heating and transport into tools Blank T=810C at beginning of die movement

The easiest modeling technique is to define the initial temperature of the blank to be 810C. However, doing this will not calculate the thermal expansion of the blank between 25C and 810C. Therefore, the blank is heated in the FE model resulting in a thickness increase from 1.95mm to 1.97mm.

The time to heat the blank is not a critical parameter for this analysis. All we want is the blank to be at 810C and have the correct thickness at the beginning of the die movement.



3

# Blank heating and transport into tools How do you chose an h for heating the blank

It takes 0.4 sec for the upper tool to touch the blank according to the specified tool displacement curve. Therefore, select 0.15 seconds for heating.

$$h = -\frac{\rho C_p l}{t} \ln \left( \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_i - T_{\infty}} \right) = -\frac{(7830)(486)(0.00195)}{(0.15)} \ln \left( \frac{809.9 - 810}{25 - 810} \right) = 444,000 \frac{W}{m^2 C}$$

h=444,000 is a ridiculously high number and is not physically possible. But, remember that the time to heat the blank is not a critical parameter for this analysis. All we want is the blank to be at 810C and have the correct thickness at the beginning of the die movement.

# Radiation & convection heat loss during transfer and forming

After heating the blank, it is transferred to the tools. The blank cools by convection and radiation to the environment.



1. Heat

2. Transfer

The heat loss is calculated by:  $\dot{q}'' = h_{eff} A (T_s - T_{\infty})$ 

How do you determine  $h_{eff} = h_{conv} + h_{rad}$ 

#### **Radiation & convection heat loss**

#### How to calculate coefficients

#### Convection

 $T_{1} + T_{\infty} = 940 + 25$ 

$$T_{film} = \frac{-\frac{s_{urf} + 1}{2}}{2} = \frac{940 + 2.5}{2} = 483 \ C$$

$$L = \frac{2(length * width)}{lenght + width} = \frac{2(1 * 0.25)}{1 + 0.25} 0.4 \ m$$

$$Gr = \frac{g\beta\rho^2 L^3 (T_{surf} - T_{\infty})}{\mu^2} = \frac{(9.8)(1.32 * 10^{-3})(0.471)^2 (.4)^3 (940 - 25)}{(3.48 * 10^{-5})^2} = 1.39 * 10^8$$

$$Pr = \frac{C_p \mu}{k} = \frac{(1087)(3.48 * 10^{-5})}{0.055} = 0.687$$

$$h_{conv} = 0.14 \frac{k}{L} (Gr * Pr)^{0.33} = .14 \frac{0.055}{.4} (1.39 * 10^8 * 0.687)^{0.33} = 8.3 \ \frac{W}{m^2 C}$$
Radiation
$$h_{rad} = \frac{\sigma \varepsilon (T_{surf}^4 - T_{\infty}^4)}{(T_{surf}^4 - T_{\infty}^4)} = \frac{(5.67 * 10^{-8})(0.8)(1213^4 - 298)}{(1213 - 298)} = 107 \ \frac{W}{m^2 K}$$

16

#### **Radiation & convection heat transfer**

#### coefficients

				LJI
			h <sub>conv</sub> +	h <sub>rad</sub> = h <sub>eff</sub>
T [C]	h <sub>conv</sub>	h <sub>rad</sub>	h <sub>eff</sub> [W/m²C] .∘ <sup>O</sup>	
50	5.68	5.31	11.0	Noto
100	6.80	6.8	13.6	Note:
200	7.80	10.8	18.6	a) h <sub>rad</sub> dominates
300	8.23	16.3	24.5	b) b @ T> 400
400	8.43	23.6	32.0	uncertain
500	8.51	33.0	41.5	
600	8.52	44.8	53.3	
700	8.50	59.3	67.8	
800	8.46	76.6	85.1	
900	8.39	97.2	106.	
1000	8.32	121.	129.	

I CT

#### Temperature of the blank at tool contact

After the blank is positioned within the tools, it continues to lose heat by convection and radiation to the environment. The benchmark specifies a heat transfer coefficient of  $h_{air}$ =160 W/m<sup>2</sup>K. We feel that this value is too high and  $h_{air}$ =115 is more appropriate. However, a hand calculation reveals that the blank only drops by 10C before the tools make contact. Therefore, knowing  $h_{air}$  precisely is not important. We ignored modeling this energy loss (i.e., temperature and thickness change) in our FE model.

#### Heat transfer to air and to dies



Top blank surface Convection + radiation heat loss to the environment, use: \*BOUNDARY\_CONVECTION \*BOUNDARY\_RADIATION LSTC

**Bottom blank surface** Turn off thermal boundary conditions when parts are in contact. \*CONTACT\_(option)\_THERMAL parameter BC\_FLAG = 1

There will be a through thickness temperature gradient in the blank caused by the different heat loss rates from the surfaces.

\*CONTROL\_SHELL ISTUPD = 1 → calculate shell thickness change TSHELL= 1 → 12 node thick thermal shell, T gradient through thickness 19

#### Heat transfer to air and to dies



The top surface loses heat to the environment by convection and radiation.

The bottom surface loses heat to the tool. The contact heat transfer to the tool is 10x greater than conv. + rad. loss.

There will be a through thickness temperature gradient in the blank due to the large difference in heat loss rates from the top and bottom surfaces. This is calculated using the 12 node thick thermal shell formulation developed by G. Bergman & M. Oldenburg at Lulea University.



(1) Friction function of T (2) heat transfer function of P

LSTC

\*CONTACT\_(option)\_THERMAL\_FRICTION lcfst lcfdt formula a b c d lch

Mechanical friction coefficients vs. temperature

Static  $\rightarrow \mu_s = \mu_s * \text{lcfst}(T)$ 

**Dynamic**  $\rightarrow \mu_d = \mu_d * \text{lcfdt(T)}$ 

1	h(P) is defined by load curve "a"	such as GE data
2	h(P) = a + bP + cP2 + dP3	polynomial curve fit
3	$h(P) = \frac{\pi k_{gas}}{4\lambda} \left[ 1. + 85 \left(\frac{P}{\sigma}\right)^{0.8} \right] = \frac{a}{b} \left[ 1. + 85 \left(\frac{P}{c}\right)^{0.8} \right]$	I.T. Shvets, "Contact Heat Transfer between Plane Metal Surfaces", Int. Chem. Eng., Vol4, No. 4, p621, 1964.
4	$h(P) = a \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-b\frac{P}{c}\right) \right]^d$	Li & Sellers, Proc. Of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Modeling of Metals Rolling Processes, The Institute of Materials, London, 1996.

#### **In-line FORTRAN function**

LCH	= 0	not defined
	< 0	h(temperature)
	> 0	h(time)
	> nlcur	function(time, Tavg, Tslv, Tmsr, pres, gap)

```
*DEFINE_FUNCTION
```

101

h101(pres)=25.+25.e-07\*pres+25.e-14\*pres\*\*2+25.e-21\*pres\*\*3

#### **In-line FORTRAN function with load curve**

*DEFI	NE_FUNC	<b>FION_TABULATED</b>		
\$#	fid	definition		
	100	<pre>acoef(tavg)</pre>		
\$# ti	tle			
acoef				
\$#		tavg	acoef	
		0.	25.	
		1000.	25.	
*DEFI	NE_FUNC	rion		
101				
h101(	pres,tav	vg)=acoef(tavg)+	25.e-07*pres+25.e-14*p	res**2
+25.e	-21*pres	s**3		

#### Function specified by C program

```
*DEFINE_FUNCTION
$# fid definition
        101 h a function of pressure
float contact(float tslv, float tmsr, float pres)
{
    float tmean, acoef, h ;
    tmean=(tslv+tmsr)/2. ;
    acoef=.125*tmean ;
    h=acoef+25.e-07*pres+25.e-14*pres**2+25.e-21*pres**3 ;
    printf ("tmean= %f acoef= %f h= %f \n",tmean,acoef,h);
    return (h) ;
}
```

#### **Contact conductance function of pressure**

M. Merklein and J. Lechler, "Determination of Material and process Characteristics for Hot Stamping Processes of Quenchable Ultra High Strength Steels with Respect to a FE\_based Process design", SAE Technical Paper 2008-01-0853, April, 2008.

Numisheet BM03 data



P [MPa]	h [W/m²K]
0	1300
20	4000
35	4500

#### How do you calculate h(P) at the interface



Ρ	h @ 550C (curve)	h calculated
0	750	750
5	1330	1330
10	1750	1770
20	2500	2520
40	3830	3830

- h = contact conductance [W/m<sup>2</sup>C]
- k = air thermal conductivity 0.059 W/mC at 550 C
- $\lambda$  = surface roughness [m]
- P = interface pressure [MPa]
- $\sigma_r$  = rupture stress [MPa]

M. Merklein and J. Lechler, "Determination of Material and process Characteristics for Hot Stamping Processes of Quenchable Ultra High Strength Steels with Respect to a FE\_based Process design", SAE Technical Paper 2008-01-0853, April, 2008.

I.T. Shvets, "Contact Heat Transfer Between Plane Metal Surfaces", Int. Chem. Eng, Vol 4, No 4, p621, 1964.

#### How do you calculate h(P) at the interface

 $\lambda = 61.8e-05$ 

1. Using curve data, solve the equation for  $\lambda$  at (P, h) = (0, 750).

$$750 = \frac{(0.059)\pi}{4\lambda} \left[ 1 + 85 \left(\frac{0}{\sigma_r}\right)^{0.8} \right]$$

2. Using curve data and the above value for  $\lambda$ , solve the equation for  $\sigma_r$  at (P, h) = (40, 3830).

$$3830 = \frac{(0.059)\pi}{4(6.18*10^{-5})} \left[ 1 + 85 \left(\frac{40}{\sigma_r}\right)^{0.8} \right] \quad \sigma_r = 1765$$

3. Now use the equation to calculate h(P)

$$h = \frac{(0.059)\pi}{4(6.18*10^{-5})} \left[ 1 + 85 \left(\frac{p}{1765}\right)^{0.8} \right]$$

#### Numisheet 2008 data for 22MnB5

LSTC

de/dt [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	T [°C]				
	500	550	650	700	800
0.01					
0.1					
1.0					

A material model (MAT\_106) was used that allowed interpolation of the  $\sigma$  vs.  $\varepsilon$  data as a function of temperature at a specified strain rate.



#### **MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MID	RO	E	PR	SIGY	ALPHA	LCSS	
С	Р	LCE	LCPR	LCSIGY			LCALPH
LCC	LCP						

## **MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal**

#### How to enter $\sigma$ vs. $\epsilon$ vs. T

\*DEFINE\_TABLE 500 550 650 800 \*DEFINE\_CURVE (stress,strain) at T=500

\*DEFINE\_CURVE (stress,strain) at T=550

\*DEFINE\_CURVE (stress,strain) at T=650

\*DEFINE\_CURVE (stress,strain) at T=800



# MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal Cowper and Symonds model

Viscous effects are accounted for using the Cowper and Symonds model, which scales the yield stress with the factor

$$1 + \left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{eff}^{P}}{C}\right)^{1/P}$$

Temp [C]	20	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
E [MPa]	212	207	199	193	166	158	150	142	134	126	118
v	0.284	0.286	0.289	0.293	0.298	0.303	0.310	0.317	0.325	0.334	0.343
р	4.28	4.21	4.10	3.97	3.83	3.69	3.53	3.37	3.21	3.04	2.87
с	6.2e9	8.4e5	1.5e4	1.4e3	258.	78.4	35.4	23.3	22.2	30.3	55.2

Courtesy of David Lorenz, Dynamore, Stuttgart, Germany.

# MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal Parameter C vs. T at different plastic strains



#### **MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal**

#### **Parameter C at** $\varepsilon$ = 0.3



33

# MAT\_106 : Elastic Viscoplastic Thermal Parameter p vs. T @ different plastic strains



## MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel

LSTC

MAT\_244 MAT\_UHS\_STEEL

This material model is based on the Ph.D thesis by Paul Akerstrom and implemented by Tobias Olsson (ERAB)

#### **Input includes:**

- 1. 15 element constituents
- 2. Latent heat
- **3.** Expansion coefficients
- 4. Phase hardening curves
- 5. Phase kinetic parameters
- 6. Cowper-Symonds parameters

#### **Output includes:**

- **1.** Austenite phase fraction
- 2. Ferrite phase fraction
- 3. Pearlite phase fraction
- 4. Bainite phase fraction
- 5. Martensite phase fraction
- 6. Vicker's hardness distribution
- 7. Yield stress distribution

Paul Akerstrom, "Modelling and Simulation of Hot Stamping", Lulea University of Technology, 2006.

## MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel


## MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel

### **Boron steel composition, wt%**

	HAZ	Akerstrom	Naderi	ThyssenKrupp
				Max. values
В		0.003	0.003	0.005
С	0.168	0.23	0.230	0.250
Со				
Мо	0.036			0.250
Cr	0.255	0.211	0.160	0.250
Ni	0.015			
Mn	1.497	1.25	1.18	1.40
Si	0.473	0.29	0.220	0.400
V	0.026			
W				
Cu	0.025			
Р	0.012	0.013	0.015	0.025
AI	0.020			
As				
Ti			0.040	0.05
S		0.003	0.001	0.010

## MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel

### **Phase start temperatures**



### http://www.msm.cam.ac.uk/map/kinetics/programs/haz\_microstructure.html

Martensite start temperature

# MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel Phase change kinetics

LSTC

### austenite to ferrite $\frac{dX_{f}}{dt} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{Q_{f}}{RT}\right)}{C} 2^{\binom{(G-1)}{2}} \left(\Delta T\right)^{3} X_{f}^{2(1-X_{f})} (1-X_{f})^{2X_{f}}$ Input parameters $Q_{f} = \text{activation energy}$ $Q_{f} = \text{activation energy}$ $Q_{p}$ = activation energy $C_{f} = 59.6Mn + 1.45Ni + 67.7Cr + 24.4Mo + K_{f}B$ $Q_{\rm h}$ = activation energy **G** = grain size $\alpha$ = material constant austenite to pearlite $K_f = boron factor$ $\frac{dX_p}{dt} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{Q_p}{RT}\right)}{C} 2^{\binom{(G-1)}{2}} \left(\Delta T\right)^3 DX_p^{2(1-X_p)} \left(1-X_p\right)^{2X_p}} \left(1-\frac{X_p}{2}\right)^{2X_p}$

 $C_p = 1.79 + 5.42(Cr + Mo + 4MoNi) + K_pB$ 

# MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel Phase change kinetics

### austenite to bainite

$$\frac{dX_{b}}{dt} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{Q_{b}}{RT}\right)}{C_{b}} 2^{\binom{(G-1)}{2}} \left(\Delta T\right)^{2} DX_{b}^{2(1-X_{b})/3} \left(1-X_{b}\right)^{2X_{b}/3}$$

$$C_{b} = 10^{-4}(2.34 + 10.1C + 3.8Cr + 19Mo)Z$$

### austenite to martensite

$$X_m = X_a \left[ 1 - e^{-\alpha (T_{ms} - T)} \right]$$

Empirical equation with  $\alpha = 0.011$ 

A.J. Fletcher, <u>Thermal Stress and Strain Generation in</u> <u>Heat Treatment</u>, 1989, ISBN 1-85166-245-6.

# MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel Hardeness calculation is empirically based

 $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{p}})\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{f-p}} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{b}} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ 

 $H_{f-p} = 42 + 223C + 53Si + 30Mn + 12.6Ni + 7Cr + 19Mo + (10 - 19Si + 4Ni + 8Cr + 130V) In(dT/dt)_{973}$ 

H<sub>b</sub> = -323 + 185C + 330Si + 153Mn + 65Ni +144Cr +191Mo + (89 +53C -55Si -22Mn -10Ni -20Cr -33Mo) ln(dT/dt)<sub>973</sub>

 $H_a = 127 + 949C + 27Si + 11Mn + 8Ni + 16Cr + 12 ln(dT/dt)_{973}$ 

# MAT\_244 : Ultra High Strength Steel Mechanical & Plasticity Material Model

Since the material has 5 phases, the yield stress is represented by a mixture law

$$\sigma_{y} = x_{1}\sigma_{1}\left(\overline{\varepsilon}_{1}^{p}\right) + x_{2}\sigma_{2}\left(\overline{\varepsilon}_{2}^{p}\right) + x_{3}\sigma_{3}\left(\overline{\varepsilon}_{3}^{p}\right) + x_{4}\sigma_{4}\left(\overline{\varepsilon}_{4}^{p}\right) + x_{5}\sigma_{5}\left(\overline{\varepsilon}_{5}^{p}\right) - \begin{cases} \mathsf{LC2} \\ \mathsf{LC3} \\ \mathsf{LC4} \end{cases}$$

Where  $\sigma_i(\overline{\varepsilon_i}^p)$  is the yield stress for phase i at the effective plastic strain for that phase.

#### References

- 1. T. Olsson, "An LS-DYNA Material Model for Simulations of Hot Stamping Processes of Ultra High Strength Steels", ERAB, April 2009, <u>tobias.olsson@erab.se</u>
- 2. P. Akerstrom, Modeling and Simulation of Hot Stamping, Doctoral Thesis, Lulea University of Technology, Lulea, Sweden, 2006.

LSTC

LC5

	1	2	
Q <sub>1</sub> /R	11575	13022	
Q <sub>2</sub> /R	13839	15569	$- [Q/R]_2 = 1.125*[Q/R]_1$
Q <sub>3</sub> /R	13588	15287	
K <sub>f</sub>	1.9e+05	0.	
K <sub>p</sub>	3.1e+03	0.	
а	0.011	0.011	
G	8	8	

LSTC

(1)						
	Cooling rate [C/sec]	Vickers Hardness	Ferrite wt%	Pearlite wt%	Bainite wt%	Martensite wt%
	200	428	0.0001	0.0010	0.3978	0.5840
	100	336	0.0001	0.0031	0.9825	0.0139
	40	310	0.0001	0.0188	0.9810	0.0001
	20	283	0.0002	0.1193	0.8804	0.0001
	10	176	0.0006	0.9993	0.0001	0.0000
	5	174	0.0023	0.9976	0.0001	0.0000
	2.5	172	0.0125	0.9874	0.0001	0.0000

 $\frown$ 

•						
2	Cooling rate [C/sec]	Vickers Hardness	Ferrite wt%	Pearlite wt%	Bainite wt%	Martensite wt%
	200	478	0.0001	0.0004	0.0008	0.9692
	100	472	0.0001	0.0009	0.0028	0.9668
	40	459	0.0002	0.0040	0.0256	0.9416
	20	376	0.0005	0.0154	0.4819	0.4880
	10	273	0.0018	0.0852	0.9015	0.0111
	5	174	0.0093	0.9906	0.0001	0.0000
	2.5	172	0.7023	0.2976	0.0000	0.0000

M. Naderi, Thesis 11/2007, Dept. Ferrous Metallurgy, RWTH Aachen University, Germany



# MAT\_244 QA parameter study Using data set 2

# CCT Diagram for 22MnB5 overlaid with LS-DYNA calculated cooling curves and Vickers hardness using MAT\_UHS\_STEEL



	Rate C/sec	Vickers Hardness	Exp. Naderi
1	200	478	
2	100	472	471
3	40	459	428
4	20	376	383
5	10	273	240
6	5	174	175
7	2.5	172	165

# MAT\_244 QA parameter study Numisheet Benchmark BM03



### Numisheet Benchmark BM03 section 1a



By: Sander van der Hoorn, Corus, The Netherlands

# MAT\_244 QA parameter study Numisheet BM03 benchmark problem

LSTC

### Material model predicts phase fractions and hardness.



9	.927e-01
9	.751e-01 _
9	.575e-01 _
9	.399e-01 _
9	.222e-01 _
9	.046e-01 _
8	.870e-01 _
8	.693e-01 _
8	.517e-01
8	.341e-01 _
8	.165e-01

**Fringe Levels** 

### **Vickers hardness**

Fringe Levels 4.968e+02 4.893e+02 4.817e+02 4.742e+02 4.567e+02 4.516e+02 4.316e+02 4.366e+02 4.291e+02 4.215e+02

# MAT\_244 QA parameter study Vickers hardness for section 2b



## Numisheet 2008 BM03 Model & Simulation

### **Forming process**

### **FE model**

Tools: 68,268 rigid shells Blank: 3,096 deformable shells increasing to 11,682 after adaptivity

Run time: INTEL Core Quad CPU @ 2.40GHz

1 cpu  $\rightarrow$  5.10 hr 2 cpu  $\rightarrow$  3.96 hr 4 cpu  $\rightarrow$  2.65 hr

Time step • mechanical 1.e-05 • thermal 1.e-03





LSTC

### **Results after forming**



1.61, #nodes=39421, #elem=41496 **Fringe Levels** Time = **Contours of Shell Thickness** 2.265e+00 shell integration pt#1 min=1.33219, at elem# 41662 2.172e+00 max=2.26499, at elem# 40347 2.078e+00 1.985e+00 1.892e+00 1.799e+00 1.705e+00 1.612e+00 1.519e+00 1.425e+00 1.332e+00

Temperature min = 488C max = 825C Thickness min = 1.33mm max = 2.26mm

**FLD** 



### **Quench: hold time in the tool 20 sec**

Modeling the cooling rate correctly is critical in determining the material phase composition and the material hardness. The local cooling rate is affected by the heat transfer between the blank and tools. The tools must be modeled using solid elements as shown in the figure below for an accurate calculation. We did not do this for the benchmark. Our FE model used shells for the tools fixed at the specified tool temperature of 75C.





shell model dT/dt > solid model dT/dt

LSTC

### Shell geometry (5.0hr run time)

- 68,268 rigid shells
- 3,096 deformable shells
- 11,682 shells after adaptivity

**Solid** geometry (5.9hr run time)

- 532,927 solids (punch & die)
- 6,692 shells (holder)
- 3,096 deformable shells (blank)
- 11,682 shells af*ter* adaptivity





## Numisheet 2008 BM03 Simulation Cool down to room temperature

CCT diagram for 22MnB5 steel



Our benchmark results are depicted by curve (a). Subsequently, we looked at the affect when using solid tools (b) and including phase change (c). The cooling rate is much slower.

## **Creating a CCT diagram**

### Digitizelt, http://www.digitizeit.de/



## Creating a CCT diagram



## Modeling tool cooling

### There are 2 methods to model fluid flow

### BULKFLOW

### **Network Analyzer**



## **BULKNODE and BULKFLOW method**

**BULK FLOW** is a lumped parameter approach to model fluid flow in a pipe. The flow path is defined with a contiguous set of beam elements. The beam node points are called **BULK NODES** and have special attributes in addition to their (x,y,z) location. Each **BULKNODE** represents a homogeneous slug of fluid. Using the **BULKFLOW** keyword we define a mass flow rate for the beams. We then solve the advection-diffusion equation.





# BULKNODE – modeling a gas or fluid in a container \*BOUNDARY\_THERMAL\_BULKNODE



BULKNODE -This is a lumped parameter approach to model a fluid inside a rigid container. A node is defined with a specified volume, density, and heat capacity. The node coordinates are arbitrary, but it makes sense to place the node in the correct geometric position for visualization. The surface segments of the container are also defined so the bulk node can exchange heat by convection and radiation to the container.

Note that we are not modeling conduction in the fluid. The entire fluid volume is homogeneous at temperature T. The fluid temperature changes due to convection and radiation heat exchange with the container.

### **BULKNODE** – modeling a gas or fluid in a container



### **BULKNODE** – modeling a gas or fluid in a container

### BOUNDARY\_THERMAL\_BULKNODE keyword

*BOUI	NDARY	_THERMAL	_BULK	NODE			
NID	PID	NBNSEG	VOL	LCID	н	Α	B

NID	bulk node number
PID	this bulk node is assigned a PID which in turn assigns material properties
NBNSEG	number of surface segments surrounding the bulk node
VOL	volume of bulk node (i.e., cavity volume – calculated by
	LSPP during mesh generation)
LCID	load curve ID for heat transfer coefficient h
н	heat transfer coefficient h
Α	exponent a
В	exponent b

## **BULKFLOW** – modeling flow through a pipe



Using the **BULKFLOW** keyword we define a mass flow rate for the beams connecting the **BULKNODES**. We then solve the advection-diffusion equation.





## Modeling flow through a pipe

LSTC



**Five** entities are required

- 1. Pipe / Die solid elements.
- 2. BULKNODE– defines fluid properties, fluid volume and heat transfer to surface layer.
- **3. BULKFLOW** beam elements define the flow path (centerline of the pipe).
- 4. Surface layer shell elements define the outer boundary surface of the fluid.
- 5. Contact used to connect dissimilar surface layer to pipe mesh.

## Modeling flow through a pipe

### **Required keywords**



### Using LS-PrePost to create BULKNODE & BULKFLOW keywords



### Using LS-PrePost to create BULKNODE & BULKFLOW keywords



### Using LS-PrePost to create BULKNODE & BULKFLOW keywords



## **Application – die cooling**

A Bulk Fluid Flow algorithm is used to model the energy exchange between the cold fluid flowing through the die cooling channels.









## **Application – die cooling**





### Water properties

Т	ρ	C <sub>p</sub>	μ	k
[C]	[kg/m³]	[J/kg C]	[kg/m s]	[W/m C]
20	998.	4182.	1.002e-03	0.603
40	992.	4179.	0.651e-03	0.632
60	983.	4185.	0.462e-03	0.653
80	972.	4197.	0.350e-03	0.670
100	958.	4216.	0.278e-03	0.681
# How do you determine a pipe flow convection coefficient Problem definition

Pipe diameter = D = 15mm = 0.015 m

Pipe cross section area =  $A = \pi D^2/4 = \pi (0.015)^2/4 = 1.77e-04 m^2$ 

Volumetric flow rate =  $G = 20 I/min = 0.02 m^3/min = 3.33e-04 m^3/sec$ 

Flow velocity = G/A = 1.89 m/sec

**Pipe wall temperature = T<sub>wall</sub> = 100C** 

Water temperature =  $T_{fluid}$  = 20C

#### How do you determine a pipe flow convection coefficient

#### **Some preliminaries**

 $\frac{L}{D} > 40$ 

Fully developed – the effect of entrance conditions (e.g., pipe from a header) on h are negligible.

Fluid properties are evaluated at the film temperature,  $T_{film}$ 

**Reynolds number** 

**Prandtl number** 

$$T_{film} = \frac{T_{wall} + T_{fluid}}{2} = \frac{100 + 20}{2} = 60$$
$$\text{Re} = \frac{V\rho D}{\mu} = \frac{(1.89)(983)(0.015)}{0.462 \times 10^{-3}} = 6.03 \times 10^{4}$$

$$\Pr = \frac{c_p \mu}{k} = \frac{(4185.)(0.462*10^{-3})}{0.653} = 2.96$$

#### How do you determine a pipe flow convection coefficient

#### **Classical empirical correlations**

#### **Dittus-Boelter equation**



#### How do you determine a pipe flow convection coefficient

#### **Gnielinski correlation**

$$h = \left(\frac{k}{D}\right) \left[\frac{(f/8)(\text{Re}-1000)\text{Pr}}{1+12.7(f/8)^{0.5}(\text{Pr}^{2/3}-1)}\right] = 11,400 \text{ W}/m^2C$$

#### f = Darcy-Weisbach friction factor (see next vu-graph for value)

There are 2 definitions for *f*. The Darcy–Weisbach friction factor is 4 times larger than the Fanning friction factor, so attention must be paid to note which one of these is meant in any "friction factor" chart or equation being used. The Darcy–Weisbach factor is more commonly used by civil and mechanical engineers, and the Fanning factor by chemical engineers, but care should be taken to identify the correct factor regardless of the source of the chart or formula.

#### How do you determine a pipe flow friction factor

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fx\_files/7747/1/moody.png



# Workshop problem: Advection – Diffusion

pipe.k

#### Consider steady state 1-dimensional bulk fluid flow through a pipe



## **Workshop problem: Advection – Diffusion**

pipe.k

Pipe geometry
x = half length = 0.5
d = diameter = 0.01
p = perimeter = pd =0.0314
A = cross sectional area = pd <sup>2</sup> /4= 7.85*10 <sup>-5</sup>
Fluid data
r = density = 1.
k = thermal conductivity = 1.
c = heat capacity = 1.
a = thermal diffusivity = k/pc = 1.
V = velocity = 1.
m = mass flow rate = r*A*v = 7.85*10 <sup>-5</sup>
Boundary conditions
h = convection coefficient = 0.005
$T_0 = pipe$ wall temperature = 0.
$T_1 = inlet (x=0.) temperature = 2.$
$T_2 = exit (x=2I) temperature = 1.$

#### **Workshop problem: Advection – Diffusion**

Carslaw & Yaeger, <u>Conduction of Heat in Solids</u>, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., p148

$$T = \frac{T_2 e^{-V(L-x)/2\alpha} \sinh \xi x + T_1 e^{\frac{Vx}{2\alpha}} \sinh \xi (L-x)}{\sinh \xi L}$$
$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{V^2}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{hp}{Ak}}$$
$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c}$$

Three analytical solutions to benchmark against: $T at x = 0.5$				
1) pure conduction	T = 1.500			
2) conduction + advection (h=0)	T = 1.622			
3) conduction + advection + convection	T = 1.293			

## BOUNDARY\_THERMAL\_BULKFLOW\_UPWIND

#### **Advanced feature**

For many flow problems, dissipative mechanisms are only significant in a narrow layer typically adjacent to a boundary. Computational solutions obtained with grids appropriate to the main flow region are often oscillatory when the true solution changes rapidly across the boundary layer.



1D steady advection diffusion problem

$$V\frac{dT}{dx} - \alpha \frac{d^2T}{dx^2} = 0$$

with T(0)=0. and T(1)=1.

'Wiggles' occur at cell Peclet numbers greater than 1.

$$Pe = \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Pr} = \left(\frac{\rho V\Delta x}{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{\mu c}{k}\right) = \frac{V\Delta x}{\alpha}$$

# BOUNDARY\_THERMAL\_BULKFLOW\_UPWIND

#### Advanced feature, upwind\_transient.k

**UPWIND** adds a term (sometimes called **artificial viscosity**) to the element stiffness matrix. This eliminates the 'wiggles' but also makes the solution more diffusive. Note that the curves are now not as steep and their shape is more spread out over time. Wiggles are gone but the solution is less accurate.



**UPWIND off** 

UPWIND on

Transient 1D flow with a step change in entering fluid temperature. Shown is the temperature history at 3 locations down the pipe. Initial and boundary conditions: T(x,0)=1, T(0,t)=2.

Think about pipes in your house. The starting point is the valve on the pipe entering your house. We will call this NODE 1. Node 1 is special and has a boundary condition specified. The BC is the pressure you would read on a pressure gauge at this location. The water enters your house and passes through several pipe junctions before it exits through your garden hose. Every junction is represented by a NODE. The last node also needs a BC specified. This BC is the mass flow rate. The pipe flow code will calculate the pressure at the intermediate junction nodes and the flow rate through the pipes.



LSTC



Given an entering flow rate, calculate the flow in each pipe and the convection heat transfer coefficient

#### **Define nodes and pipes**



input							output	
Pipe	N1	N2	Length [m]	Dia. [mm]	Rough [mm]	Ftg. [L <sub>e</sub> /D]	Q [l/min]	h [W/m²C]
1	1	4	1	10	0.05		5.7	5600
2	2	5	1	20	0.05		9.7	2400
3	3	6	3	10	0.05	100	4.5	4600
4	1	2	0.2	10	0.05		14.2	11000
5	2	3	0.2	10	0.05		4.5	4600
6	4	5	0.2	10	0.05		5.7	5600
7	5	6	0.4	10	0.05		15.5	12000

Pipe type	Roughness, e [mm]
Cast iron	0.25
Galvanized iron	0.15
Steel or wrought iron	0.046
Drawn tubing	0.0015

Fitting type	Equivalent length L <sub>e</sub> /D
Globe valve	350
Gate valve	13
Check valve	30
90° std. elbow	30
90° long radius	20
90° street elbow	50
45° elbow	16
Tee flow through run	20
Tee flow through branch	60
Return bend	50

# **Solution algorithm**

# Solve:

#### Bernoulli equation

$$\left(\frac{V_1^2 - V_2^2}{2g}\right) + \left(\frac{P_1 - P_2}{\rho g}\right) + \left(z_1 - z_2\right) = H_f$$

**Friction equation** 

$$H_f = f \frac{L}{D} \frac{V^2}{2g} + H_{fitting}$$

#### **Gnielinski equation**

$$h = \left(\frac{k}{D}\right) \left[\frac{(f/8)(\text{Re}-1000)\text{Pr}}{1+12.7(f/8)^{0.5}(\text{Pr}^{2/3}-1)}\right]$$

# Subject to:

#### Pressure drop around each circuit =0.









#### **Tool temperature after 20 stampings**





# Thermostat feature adjusts the heating rate to keep the sensor temperature at the set point.



proportional

# Thermostat controller set point T<sub>set</sub>=40



Proportional Control – corrective action is taken which is proportional to the error. Should a sustained correction (brought about by a sustained disturbance) be required, an accompanying steady state error will exist.



Integral Control – corrective action is made which is proportional to the time integral of the error. An integral controller will continue to correct until the error is zero (eliminating any steady state system error). But, there is also a weakness. Integral control tends to overshoot, thereby producing an oscillatory response and, in some cases, instability.

#### **Thermostat controller**

#### Set point T<sub>set</sub>=40



**Proportional + Integral Control –** the oscillations will be damped and the set point error  $\rightarrow 0$ .



On – Off Control can also be activated.

# Thermostat controller \*LOAD\_HEAT\_CONTROLLER keyword

NODE PID LOAD TSET TYPE GP GI

- NODE sensor is located at this node
- PID heater (or cooler) part id being controlled
- LOAD heater output Q<sub>0</sub> [W/m<sup>3</sup>]
- **TSET** set point temperature @ NODE
- TYPE 1 = on off 2 = proportional + integral
- GP proportional gain
- GI integral gain